(MR. SPEAKER)

consist of the Rajpramukh and the two Houses in Mysore (Article 168 read with Article 238). The Rajpramukh that is, His Highness is an integral part of our State Legislature and the fact that the portrait of the Rajpramukh is in the Legislative Assembly Hall cannot involve or raise any question of privilege whatsoever. On these grounds I hold that no *prima facie* case of privilege has been made out.

MOTIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT.

Withholding of results of certain students of Intermediate and other Colleges.

MR. SPEAKER.—There is an adjournment motion sent by the same member, Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy. It reads as follows.—

> "This Assembly do now stand adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance and of recent occurrence, namely, the withholding of results of Intermediate students of the Intermediate College, Chitaldrug and of students of some other Colleges of the Mysore University due to shortage of attendance."

I now call upon the concerned Minister if he is prepared to make a statement or give an explanation so as to convince the House.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, I wish to make only a few remarks. This is a matter which pertains to the Mysore University, which is a Statutory Body. The Mysore University held a series of examinations and the results of thousands of students were published. I know that in about a dozen cases, the results have been withheld. While the results of about 15,000 students were announced, the results of only a dozen students are withheld. The adjournment motion states that the results of Intermediate students of the Intermediate College, Chitaldrug and of the students of some other colleges are withheld. It is not at all so big as stated in the motion.

Next, as I submitted, the University is a Statutory Body which has been set up and is functioning because of the Law behind it which has had the sanction of the Legislature. I learn that this question of withholding the results of these few students is engaging the attention of the University Council and they are going into this matter on the 29th of this month. Under the circumstances, I have to submit that to encroach upon the functions of a Statutory Body as the University, is neither proper nor fair to the Legislature and I am sure that the University will look into the matter with sympathy and consider the cases of these students, consistent with the morale and dignity attached to the University. With these few words, I submit that this motion is not proper.

1-30 P. M.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—In this connection I wish to state that I beg to differ from the Hon'ble Member. To say that no adjournment motion should be brought on any matter connected with the University affairs is denying the right and privilege of a Member in this House. Though it might be a Statutory Body every pie of it has been paid by this House and when it involves financial matters, we have a right to question any act of that Body. But the statement made by the Hon'ble Minister that the question will be considered sympathetically and the fact that the University Council meets on the 29th, may be taken into consideration by the Chair in deciding this issue. But so far as the bringing of an adjournment motion is concerned, it is perfectly within the right of any Member to do so. Secondly, merely because the results of 15,000 have been announced, it does not give any right to withhold the results of some students. What is the student to do ? Is he going to join the next class ? He is in the wilderness. He is in the midsky or Viswamitra's Trisankuswarga.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I rise to a point of order. Both the Members belonging to the same party are differing!

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I am only suggesting that I differ from him. But I am prepared to abide by the party's position and decision here.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The motion is no doubt very definite and is also one of importance. But I have my own doubts as regards the urgency of the matter for the simple reason that the general discussion on the Budget will commence just now and the Hon'ble Member will have the right of speaking about this very matter. And for other reasons also which I have indicated while I gave a ruling on the first adjournment motion during the session I hold that this adjournment motion is not in order.

Situation arising on the termination of flying of the Mysore Flag and stopping the singing of the Mysore Anthem.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then we have another adjournment motion sent by Janab J. Mohamed Imam, Sri M. Chikkalingiah, Sri U. M. Madappa, Sri B. Hutche Gowda, Sri T. Nagappa, Sri Siddaiah *alias* Kunniah, Sri B. Rachiah and Sri S. Srinivasa Iyengar which is as follows.—

> "That the business of this House do stand adjourned to discuss a matter of definite urgent public importance which occurred in the course of this session on 21st June 52 namely the situation arising out of the Government Order terminating the flying of the Mysore Gandabherunda Flag and the singing of Mysore Anthem."

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—I want the Deputy Leader of the Opposition to make a statement on this issue.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I do not want to play into the hands of my friend Sri Bheemappa Naik.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, on the 21st of this month, in the course of his reply to the debate on the Address of the Rajpramukh and in reply to an Hon'ble Member of this House, the Hon'ble Leader of the House took all of us by surprise by making an announcement that the Government would issue an order terminating the flying of the Mysore Flag and also the singing of the Mysore Anthem.

Firstly, the adjournment motion satisfies the conditions needed for moving it. It is a matter of public importance. To that, I think, everybody will agree because the flying of the Mysore Flag and the singing of the Mysore Anthem have been in vogue in Mysore State for centuries and to put an end to this long usage and privilege by an announcement is really a very important act in which all the members of the public are concerned and it is a matter of first rate public importance.

Sri R. ANANTHARAMAN (Chamarajapet).—Sir, I rise to a point of order. According to rule **42** (b), not more than one matter can be discussed on the same motion. Here, my friend refers to two matters, the singing of the National Anthem and also the flying of the Mysore Flag.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—By way of explanation I am referring to the Government Order. There was only one Government Order.

Sri R. ANANTHARAMAN.—In one Government Order there may be many matters.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—So there is absolutely no doubt that the public are deeply concerned in this matter. It is a matter of urgent public importance and it is of recent occurrence. That is another condition to be fulfilled. In fact it happened only on the 21st of this month when no Member could raise this question in this House by any other means. In my opinion it satisfies all the conditions for moving an adjournment motion. Rule 40 is like this :